

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S-0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
S-1	Final EIS/EIR Purpose and Intended Uses	1
S-2	Environmental Review Process	2
S-3	History, Purpose, and Need for the Project	4
S-4	What is Light Rail	9
S-5	The Locally Preferred Alternative	12
S-6	Design Options	30
S-7	Ridership Forecast	38
S-8	Financial Analysis	39
S-9	Resolution of Project Issues	41
S-10	Summary of Station Areas	56
1.0	PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1	Overview of Purpose and Need	1-1
1.1.1	Regional Transit Context	1-1
1.1.2	Mid-City/Westside Study Area	1-3
1.1.3	Mid-City/Wilshire and Mid-City/Exposition Transit Corridors	1-3
1.2	The Mobility Problem	1-5
1.2.1	Regional Performance Indicators	1-5
1.2.2	Major Themes Supporting Transit Need in the Study Area	1-6
2.0	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	2-1
2.1	Background and Planning Context	2.1-1
2.1.1	Original Metro Red Line Studies (1983-1988)	2.1-1
2.1.2	Early Systems Planning Studies (1989-1990)	2.1-1
2.1.3	Metro Red Line Mid-City Extension Studies (1990-1998)	2.1-4
2.1.4	Early Planning Studies for the Exposition Right-of-Way (1992-1994)	2.1-6
2.1.5	Metro Rapid and Other Bus Service Improvement Studies (1998-2002)	2.1-6
2.2	Re-Evaluation Studies for Mid-City/Westside Transit Improvements (1998-2000)	2.2-1
2.2.1	Metro Restructuring Plan	2.2-1
2.2.2	SCAG West Los Angeles Transit Corridor Technical Report (August 1998)	2.2-1
2.2.3	Regional Transit Alternatives Analysis (November 1998)	2.2-3
2.2.4	Proposition A Ballot Initiative (Subway Funding Prohibition)	2.2-3
2.2.5	Mid-City/Westside Re-Evaluation/Major Investment Study (1999-2000)	2.2-3
2.2.5.1	MIS Alternatives	2.2-4
2.2.5.2	Metro Board Action on MIS Study (February-March, 2000)	2.2-4
2.2.6	Adoption of the Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridors into Regional Plans	2.2-6
2.2.6.1	Regional Transportation Plan (2004)	2.2-6
2.2.6.2	Metro Long Range Transportation Plan (2001)	2.2-6
2.3	Los Angeles Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridor Draft EIS/EIR (2000-2001)	2.3-1
2.3.1	Draft EIS/EIR Alternatives	2.3-1
2.3.2	Selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA)	2.3-3
2.4	Description of LRT Build Alternative Considered in this Final EIS/EIR	2.4-1
2.4.1	Initial Screening	2.4-1
2.4.1.1	Screening Methodology	2.4-2
2.4.1.2	Screening Studies	2.4-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

2.4.1.3	MTA Board Actions (February 2000, June 2001)	2.4-4
2.4.2	Locally Preferred Alternative	2.4-4
2.4.2.1	Components of the Locally Preferred Alternative	2.4-10
2.4.2.1.1	Exposition Transit Parkway	2.4-10
2.4.2.1.1a	Route Alignment and Guideways	2.4-12
2.4.2.1.1b	Stations	2.4-27
2.4.2.1.1c	Parking Facilities	2.4-44
2.4.2.1.1d	Bikeway and Bikeway Facilities	2.4-45
2.4.2.1.1e	Landscaping, Public Art and Other Improvements	2.4-53
2.4.3	Operations and Maintenance Facility	2.4-67
2.4.3.1	The Existing Division 11 Operations and Maintenance Facility	2.4-67
2.4.3.2	Selected Site for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Layover and Maintenance	2.4-69
2.4.3.3	Mid-Day Layover Site	2.4-69
2.4.4	Construction Scenario	2.4-72
2.4.4.1	No Operation Construction Option	2.4-72
2.4.4.2	Partial Operation Construction Option	2.4-72
2.5	Other Alternatives Evaluated That May Reduce Impacts	2.5-1
2.6	Uses of this Final EIS/EIR Document - Selection of Locally Preferred Alternative for Implementation	2.6-1
2.7	Locally Preferred Alternative as Identified by the Metro Staff	2.7-1
2.8	Role of the Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority	2.8-1
3.0	TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS AND MITIGATION	3-1
3.1	Transit	3.1-1
3.1.1	Affected Environment	3.1-1
3.1.2	Transit Operations Concept	3.1-1
3.1.3	Impact Assessment	3.1-6
3.1.4	Mitigation Measures	3.1-9
3.1.5	CEQA Determination	3.1-9
3.2	Traffic	3.2-1
3.2.1	Regional Transportation	3.2-1
3.2.1.1	Overview	3.2-1
3.2.1.2	Freeway Network	3.2-3
3.2.1.3	Arterial Network	3.2-6
3.2.1.4	Impact on Regional Travel Patterns	3.2-7
3.2.1.5	Impact on Freeways	3.2-10
3.2.1.6	Mitigation Measures	3.2-12
3.2.2	Downtown Connection Subareas	3.2-13
3.2.2.1	Affected Environment	3.2-13
3.2.2.1.1	Study Intersections and Baseline Levels of Service	3.2-13
3.2.2.2	Impact Assessment	3.2-17
3.2.2.3	Mitigation Measures	3.2-24
3.2.3	Mid-Corridor Subarea	3.2-25
3.2.3.1	Affected Environment	3.2-25
3.2.3.1.1	Study Intersections and Baseline Levels of Service	3.2-13
3.2.3.2	Impact Assessment	3.2-29
3.2.3.3	Mitigation Measures	3.2-34
3.2.4	West End Subarea	3.2-35

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	3.2.4.1	Affected Environment	3.2-35
	3.2.4.2	Impact Assessment	3.2-39
	3.2.4.3	Mitigation Measures	3.2-60
3.2.5		Other Traffic and Rail Operations Considerations	3.2-64
	3.2.5.1	Rail Service Reliability	3.2-64
	3.2.5.2	Neighborhood Traffic Circulation and Operational Impacts	3.2-66
	3.2.5.3	Impacts of Special Events Street Closures on Mid-City/ Exposition LRT Operations	3.2-68
	3.2.5.4	Mitigation Measures	3.2-69
3.2.6		CEQA Determination	3.2-70
3.3		Parking	3.3-1
	3.3.1	Affected Environment	3.3-1
	3.3.1.1	Off-Street Parking	3.3-1
	3.3.1.2	On-Street Parking	3.3-2
	3.3.2	Impact Assessment	3.3-6
	3.3.2.1	Loss of Off-Street Parking	3.3-6
	3.3.2.2	Loss of On-Street Parking	3.3-6
	3.3.2.3	Addition of Station Area Parking	3.3-9
	3.3.3	Mitigation Measures	3.3-11
	3.3.4	CEQA Determination	3.3-12
4.0		AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1		Land Use/Neighborhoods	4.1-1
	4.1.1	Affected Environment	4.1-1
	4.1.1.1	Existing Land Use	4.1-3
	4.1.1.2	Regional Plans	4.1-5
	4.1.1.3	Plans and Policies Applicable to the Locally Preferred Alternative	4.1-5
	4.1.1.4	Plans and Policies Applicable to the Proposed Projects Segment	4.1-8
	4.1.2	Impact Assessment	4.1-11
	4.1.2.1	Regional Land Use	4.1-12
	4.1.2.2	Local Land Use	4.1-15
	4.1.3	Mitigation Measures	4.1-19
	4.1.4	CEQA Determination	4.1-19
4.2		Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation	4.2-1
	4.2.1	Affected Environment	4.2-1
	4.2.2	Impact Assessment	4.2-2
	4.2.2.1	Acquisition of Property	4.2-2
	4.2.2.2	ROW Leases	4.2-30
	4.2.2.3	ROW Licenses	4.2-31
	4.2.3	Mitigation Measures	4.2-32
	4.2.4	CEQA Determination	4.2-32
4.3		Equity and Environmental Justice Considerations	4.3-1
	4.3.1	Affected Environment	4.3-1
	4.3.1.1	Los Angeles County	4.3-1
	4.3.1.2	Project Study Area	4.3-1
	4.3.1.3	Station Areas	4.3-2
	4.3.2	Impact Assessment	4.3-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	4.3.2.1	Effects on Local Businesses	4.3-6
	4.3.2.2	Effects on Minority and Low-Income Populations	4.3-7
	4.3.3	Mitigation Measures	4.3-9
	4.3.4	CEQA Determination	4.3-9
4.4		Visual Quality	4.4-1
	4.4.1	Affected Environment	4.4-1
	4.4.1.1	Visual Context	4.4-1
	4.4.2	Impact Assessment	4.4-18
	4.4.3	Mitigation Measures	4.4-42
	4.4.4	CEQA Determination	4.4-49
4.5		Air Quality	4.5-1
	4.5.1	Affected Environment	4.5-1
	4.5.1.1	Pollutants and Effects	4.5-1
	4.5.1.2	Regulatory Setting	4.5-2
	4.5.1.3	Background Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4.5-10
	4.5.1.4	Future Baseline Air Quality	4.5-11
	4.5.2	Impact Assessment	4.5-11
	4.5.2.1	Regional Emissions	4.5-12
	4.5.2.2	CO Hotspot Analysis	4.5-14
	4.5.2.3	Conformity Analysis	4.5-16
	4.5.3	Mitigation Measures	4.5-19
	4.5.4	CEQA Determination	4.5-19
4.6		Noise and Vibration	4.6-1
	4.6.1	Affected Environment	4.6-1
	4.6.2	Impact Assessment	4.6-5
	4.6.2.1	Air-Borne Noise from Light Rail Vehicles	4.6-14
	4.6.2.2	Audible Warning Signal Noise	4.6-17
	4.6.2.3	Special Trackwork Noise Impacts	4.6-21
	4.6.2.4	Ground-Borne Vibrations and Noise from Train Operation	4.6-26
	4.6.2.5	Special Trackwork Vibration Impacts	4.6-29
	4.6.2.6	Ancillary Equipment	4.6-31
	4.6.2.7	Maintenance Yards	4.6-32
	4.6.3	Mitigation Measures	4.6-32
	4.6.3.1	Air-Borne Noise from Light Rail Vehicles	4.6-32
	4.6.3.2	Grade Crossing Audible Warning Devices	4.6-33
	4.6.3.3	Special Trackwork Noise	4.6-33
	4.6.3.4	Ground-borne Vibration Mitigation Measures	4.6-34
	4.6.3.5	Special Trackwork Vibration	4.6-34
	4.6.4	CEQA Determination	4.6-34
4.7		Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.7-1
	4.7.1	Affected Environment	4.7-1
	4.7.1.1	Regulatory Framework	4.7-1
	4.7.1.2	Active and Potentially Active Faults	4.7-1
	4.7.1.3	Alquist-Priolo Fault Hazard Zones	4.7-2
	4.7.1.4	Liquefaction Potential and Other Soil Considerations	4.7-2
	4.7.1.5	Other Subsurface Conditions	4.7-6
	4.7.2	Impact Assessment	4.7-6
	4.7.2.1	Active of Potentially Active Faults	4.7-6
	4.7.2.2	Liquefaction and Expansive Soils	4.7-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	4.7.2.3 Other Subsurface Conditions	4.7-9
	4.7.3 Mitigation Measures	4.7-9
	4.7.4 CEQA Determination	4.7-10
4.8	Exposure to Hazardous Substances	4.8-1
	4.8.1 Affected Environment	4.8-1
	4.8.1.1 Soil and Water Contaminants	4.8-1
	4.8.1.2 Electro-Magnetic Radiation	4.8-2
	4.8.2 Impact Assessment	4.8-2
	4.8.2.1 Construction	4.8-3
	4.8.2.2 Operation	4.8-4
	4.8.3 Mitigation Measures	4.8-5
	4.8.4 CEQA Determination	4.8-6
4.9	Water Resources	4.9-1
	4.9.1 Affected Environment	4.9-1
	4.9.1.1 Executive Order Pertaining to Flood Plans	4.9-1
	4.9.1.2 Municipal Water Study	4.9-1
	4.9.1.3 Flooding	4.9-1
	4.9.1.4 Local Surface Water Bodies	4.9-1
	4.9.1.5 Groundwater	4.9-2
	4.9.1.6 Local Drainage Patterns	4.9-2
	4.9.1.7 Water Quality	4.9-3
	4.9.1.8 Federal Regulatory Framework	4.9-3
	4.9.1.9 Regional Regulatory Framework	4.9-4
	4.9.2 Impact Assessment	4.9-4
	4.9.2.1 Water Quality	4.9-4
	4.9.2.2 Sewer Systems	4.9-5
	4.9.2.3 Storm Water Runoff	4.9-5
	4.9.2.4 Flooding	4.9-6
	4.9.2.5 Groundwater Resources	4.9-8
	4.9.3 Mitigation Measures	4.9-9
	4.9.4 CEQA Determination	4.9-9
4.10	Biological Resources	4.10-1
	4.10.1 Affected Environment	4.10-1
	4.10.2 Impact Assessment	4.10-3
	4.10.2.1 Wetlands	4.10-4
	4.10.2.2 Sensitive Species and Habitat	4.10-4
	4.10.3 Mitigation Measures	4.10-5
	4.10.4 CEQA Determination	4.10-7
4.11	Energy Resources	4.11-1
	4.11.1 Affected Environment	4.11-1
	4.11.2 Impact Assessment	4.11-1
	4.11.2.1 Changes in Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled	4.11-2
	4.11.2.2 Energy Consumption	4.11-3
	4.11.3 Mitigation Measures	4.11-5
	4.11.4 CEQA Determination	4.11-5
4.12	Safety and Security	4.12-1
	4.12.1 Affected Environment	4.12-1
	4.12.1.1 Police Service Areas	4.12-1
	4.12.1.2 Metro Transit Policing Through Los Angeles County	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	Sheriff's Department	4.12-3
4.12.2	Impact Assessment	4.12-4
	4.12.2.1 Pedestrian Safety	4.12-4
	4.12.2.2 Motorist Safety	4.12-12
	4.12.2.3 Security	4.12-18
4.12.3	Mitigation Measures	4.12-19
4.12.4	CEQA Determination	4.12-19
	4.12.4.1 Safety	4.12-20
	4.12.4.2 Security	4.12-20
4.13	Historic, Archaeological & Paleontological Resources	4.13-1
4.13.1	Affected Environment	4.13-1
	4.13.1.1 Paleontological Resources	4.13-1
	4.13.1.2 Archeological Resources	4.13-1
	4.13.1.3 Historic Resources	4.13-2
4.13.2	Impact Assessment	4.13-8
	4.13.2.1 Paleotological Resources	4.13-8
	4.13.2.2 Archeological Resources	4.13-9
	4.13.2.3 Historic Resources	4.13-10
	4.13.2.4 SHPO Coordination and Memorandum of Agreement	4.13-17
4.13.3	Mitigation Measures	4.13-18
	4.13.3.1 Paleontological Resources	4.13-18
	4.13.3.2 Archeological and Historic Resources	4.13-18
4.13.4	CEQA Determination	4.13-19
4.14	Parklands and Community Facilities	4.14-1
4.14.1	Affected Environment	4.14-1
4.14.2	Impact Assessment	4.14-5
	4.14.2.1 Parkland	4.14-5
	4.14.2.2 Access to Community Facilities	4.14-6
	4.14.2.3 Parking for Community Facilities	4.14-9
4.14.3	Mitigation Measures	4.14-10
4.14.4	CEQA Determination	4.14-10
	4.14.4.1 Parkland	4.14-11
	4.14.4.2 Community Facilities	4.14-11
4.15	Construction Impacts	4.15-1
4.15.1	Affected Environment	4.15-1
	4.15.1.1 Construction Methods	4.15-1
	4.15.1.2 Phased Implementation	4.15-2
	4.15.1.3 Construction Elements	4.15-4
	4.15.1.3.1 Demolition of Existing Roadway and Buildings	4.15-4
	4.15.1.3.2 Utility Relocation	4.15-4
	4.15.1.3.3 Rail Guideway	4.15-4
	4.15.1.3.4 Street Widening and Street Reconstruction	4.15-5
	4.15.1.3.5 Operating System and Installation	4.15-5
	4.15.1.3.6 Bridge Construction	4.15-5
	4.15.1.3.7 Other Street Improvements	4.15-5
	4.15.1.3.8 Stations	4.15-6
	4.15.1.3.9 Parking Facilities	4.15-6
	4.15.1.3.10 Bikeway and Bikeway Facilities	4.15-6
	4.15.1.3.11 Landscaping and Art for Transit	4.15-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	4.15.1.3.12 Systems Facilities	4.15-7
	4.15.1.3.13 Air Quality Assessment for Local Schools	4.15-7
4.15.2	Impact Assessment	4.15-8
4.15.3	Mitigation Measures	4.15-9
	4.15.3.1 Traffic	4.15-9
	4.15.3.2 Parking	4.15-10
	4.15.3.3 Equity & Environmental Justice Considerations	4.15-10
	4.15.3.4 Land Use/Neighborhoods	4.15-11
	4.15.3.5 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation	4.15-11
	4.15.3.6 Visual Quality	4.15-11
	4.15.3.7 Air Quality	4.15-11
	4.15.3.8 Noise and Vibration	4.15-12
	4.15.3.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.15-14
	4.15.3.10 Exposure to Hazardous Substances	4.15-14
	4.15.3.11 Water Resources	4.15-14
	4.15.3.12 Biological Resources	4.15-14
	4.15.3.13 Energy Resources	4.15-14
	4.15.3.14 Safety and Security	4.15-15
	4.15.3.15 Historic, Archeological and Paleontological Resources	4.15-16
	4.15.3.16 Parklands and Community Facilities	4.15-16
4.15.4	CEQA Determination	4.15-16
	4.15.4.1 Traffic	4.15-17
	4.15.4.2 Parking	4.15-18
	4.15.4.3 Land Use/Neighborhoods	4.15-19
	4.15.4.4 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation	4.15-20
	4.15.4.5 Equity and Environmental Justice Considerations	4.15-21
	4.15.4.6 Visual Quality	4.15-22
	4.15.4.7 Air Quality	4.15-23
	4.15.4.8 Noise and Vibration	4.15-24
	4.15.4.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.15-26
	4.15.4.10 Exposure to Hazardous Substances	4.15-27
	4.15.4.11 Water Resources	4.15-28
	4.15.4.12 Biological Resources	4.15-29
	4.15.4.13 Energy Resources	4.15-30
	4.15.4.14 Safety and Security	4.15-31
	4.15.4.15 Historic, Archeological and Paleontological Resources	4.15-32
	4.15.4.16 Parklands and Community Facilities	4.15-33
4.16	Division #11 Operations and Maintenance Facility Expansion	4.16-1
	4.16.1 Affected Environment	4.16-1
	4.16.2 Impact Assessment	4.16-1
	4.16.2.1 Traffic	4.16-1
	4.16.2.2 Parking	4.16-1
	4.16.2.3 Equity and Environmental Justice Considerations	4.16-2
	4.16.2.4 Land Use/Neighborhoods	4.16-2
	4.16.2.5 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation	4.16-3
	4.16.2.6 Visual Quality	4.16-3
	4.16.2.7 Air Quality	4.16-3
	4.16.2.8 Noise and Vibration	4.16-4
	4.16.2.9 Geology, Soils and Seismicity	4.16-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	4.16.2.10 Exposure to Hazardous Substances	4.16-5
	4.16.2.11 Water Resources	4.16-6
	4.16.2.12 Biological Resources	4.16-6
	4.16.2.13 Energy Resources	4.16-7
	4.16.2.14 Safety and Security	4.16-7
	4.16.2.15 Historic, Archeological, and Paleontological Resources	4.16-8
	4.16.2.16 Parklands and Community Facilities	4.16-8
	4.16.2.17 Construction Impacts	4.16-9
	4.16.3 Mitigation Measures	4.16-9
	4.16.4 CEQA Determination	4.16-10
4.17	Other CEQA Determinations	4.17-1
	4.17.1 Impact Assessment	4.17-1
	4.17.1.1 Cumulative Impacts	4.17-2
	4.17.1.2 Growth Inducement	4.17-6
	4.17.1.3 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	4.17-6
	4.17.1.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative	4.17-6
5.0	COST AND PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS	5.0-1
5.1	Financial Analysis	5.1-1
	5.1.1 Capital Costs	5.1-1
	5.1.2 Operations and Maintenance O&M Costs	5.1-7
	5.1.2.1 Rail O&M Costs	5.1-7
	5.1.2.2 Bus O&M Costs	5.1-8
	5.1.3 Financial Capability to Build and Operate	5.1-10
5.2	Comparative Analysis of Alternatives	5.2-1
	5.2.1 Ridership	5.2-1
	5.2.2 Travel Time Comparison	5.2-3
	5.2.3 Equity	5.2-4
	5.2.4 Tradeoffs Among Alternatives	5.2-6
6.0	COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Scoping & Stakeholder Outreach	6-1
6.3	Public Review of the Draft Environmental Document	6-6
6.4	Metro Board Adoption of Locally Preferred Alternative	6-7
6.5	Outreach in Support of Final EIS/EIR	6-8
6.6	Release and Certification of Final EIS/EIR	6-13
7.0	RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON DRAFT EIS/EIR	7.1
7.1	Aesthetics/Visual Quality	7-106
7.2	Air Quality	7-107
7.3	Alternatives	7-108
7.4	Bicycles and Bicycle Facilities	7-115
7.5	Biological Resources	7-117
7.6	CEQA Process and EIR Document	7-118
7.7	Community Facilities/Community Impacts	7-119
7.8	Construction	7-121
7.9	Corrections	7-122
7.10	Costs and Finding	7-122

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

7.11	Cultural Resources	7-123
7.12	Cumulative Impacts	7-125
7.13	Flood Hazards	7-125
7.14	General Statement of Opinion	7-126
7.15	Geology	7-126
7.16	Grade Separation	7-127
7.17	Hazardous Materials	7-129
7.18	Land Use	7-129
7.19	Light Rail Trench	7-136
7.20	Mitigation and Mitigation Costs	7-136
7.21	Metro Travel Model and Ridership	7-136
7.22	Need	7-138
7.23	Noise and Vibration	7-139
7.24	Parking	7-141
7.25	Previous Project	7-142
7.26	Project Description/Project Design	7-143
7.27	Public Participation	7-144
7.28	Safety	7-144
7.29	Support/Oppose Project	7-146
7.30	System	7-146
7.31	Traffic	7-146
7.32	Transit	7-153
7.33	Transportation Policy	7-153
7.34	USC/Exposition Park Undercrossing	7-153
7.35	Utilities	7-155
7.36	Non-Revenue Connector and Storage Facility	7-155
7.37	Property Values	7-155
7.38	Environmental Justice	7-156
7.39	Receipt of Comments	7-156

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Preliminary Engineering Drawings
Appendix B	Notice of Preparation and Notice of Intent Distribution List
Appendix C	Distribution List
Appendix D	References
Appendix E	MTA Grade Crossing Policy for Light Rail Transit and Evaluation of Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project Using the MTA Grade Crossing Policy
Appendix F	List of Preparers
Appendix G	SHPO Historic Resources Evaluation
Appendix H	Exposition Transit Parkway Definitions
Appendix I	Supporting Traffic Diagrams
Appendix J	Noise Receptors and Vibration Impact Figures

VOLUME II - Public Comments on Draft EIS/EIR

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.2-1	Mid-City/Westside RTP Performance Indicators	1-5
Table 1.2-2	Summary of Mode Choice Along Exposition Route	1-10
Table 1.2-3	Population and Employment Forecast	1-11
Table 1.2-4	Traffic Volume Trends on Santa Monica Freeway	1-14
Table 2.4-1	Locally Preferred Alternative LRT Grade Crossings	2.4-17
Table 2.4-2	LPA Station Locations	2.4-30
Table 2.4-3	Transit Center Summary	2.4-32
Table 2.4-4	Downtown Los Angeles Connection Design Options - Station Locations	2.4-41
Table 2.4-5	Bicycle Facilities Summary	2.4-52
Table 2.4-6	Summary of Enhanced Crosswalk Locations	2.4-61
Table 3.1-1	BRT and Metro Rapid Routes Represented in 2020 No Build	3.1-2
Table 3.1-2	No Build Transit Concept - Changes to Existing Service (As of 2001)	3.1-3
Table 3.1-3	TSM Transit Concept-Changes to No Build	3.1-3
Table 3.1-4	Mid-City/Exposition LRT: Changes to TSM	3.1-4
Table 3.1-5	Mid-City/Exposition LRT Phased Implementation Transit Concept: Changes to TSM	3.1-5
Table 3.1-6	Summary Transit Statistics	3.1-5
Table 3.1-7	Comparison of Transit Performance Measures (2020)	3.1-6
Table 3.1-8	Daily Fixed Guideway Boardings Based on Year 2025 Forecast	3.1-7
Table 3.1-9	2020 AM/PM Peak Hours Bus Traffic	3.1-7
Table 3.2-1	Performance Measures for Project Alternatives Compared to 2020 Baseline	3.2-10
Table 3.2-2	Existing Conditions Study Area Intersections Level of Service and Delay	3.2-17
Table 3.2-3	Year 2020 Conditions With and Without LRT (LPA)	3.2-19
Table 3.2-4	Baseline Conditions Study Area Intersections Level of Service and Delay	3.2-29
Table 3.2-5	Year 2020 With and Without LRT (LPA) Conditions Study Area Intersections	3.2-30
Table 3.2-6	2005 Existing Intersection Level of Service	3.2-39
Table 3.2-7	2020 Intersection Level of Service No Project	3.2-43
Table 3.2-8	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Locally Preferred Alternative	3.2-45
Table 3.2-9	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: La Cienega Station Parking Option	3.2-49
Table 3.2-10	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Jefferson Boulevard Design Option	3.2-51
Table 3.2-11	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Venice/Robertson ROW and North of ROW Design Options	3.2-53
Table 3.2-12	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Venice/Robertson Aerial Station Design Option	3.2-57
Table 3.2-13	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment - Southeast Corner Parking	3.2-58
Table 3.2-14	2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment Southwest Corner Parking	3.2-61
Table 3.2-15	Run Time Summary	3.2-64
Table 3.2-16	Trip Distribution	3.2-67
Table 3.3-1	Loss of Off-Street Parking Within Metro Owned Right-of-Way	3.3-1
Table 3.3-2	Summary of On-Street Parking Loss	3.3-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Table 3.3-3	Existing On-Street Parking and Parking Loss Mitigation Plan	3.3-3
Table 3.3-4	LPA Parking Supply and Demand, 2010 and 2020	3.3-10
Table 4.1-1	Comparison of the Proposed Project to SCAG Regional Policies	4.1-13
Table 4.1-2	Summary of Impacts to Land Use	4.1-20
Table 4.2-1	Exposition ROW Lease Summary	4.2-1
Table 4.2-2	Properties to be Acquired Along LPA Alignment	4.2-3
Table 4.2-3	Properties to be Acquired Outside the LPA Alignment	4.2-29
Table 4.3-1	Mid-City/Exposition Corridor Demographic Data	4.3-2
Table 4.3-2	Station Area Demographics	4.3-3
Table 4.3-3	2003 HHS Poverty Guidelines for Los Angeles County	4.3-6
Table 4.4-1	Visual Impacts	4.4-26
Table 4.5-1	State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards	4.5-7
Table 4.5-2	Air Quality Summary for Study Area Monitoring Stations, 2000-2002	4.5-8
Table 4.5-3	Existing Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4.5-11
Table 4.5-4	CFR 40 Part 51 - Federal Thresholds	4.5-12
Table 4.5-5	Estimated Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions- Baseline vs LPA	4.5-13
Table 4.5-6	Estimated Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions- Baseline vs Phased Implementation	4.5-14
Table 4.5-7	2020 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4.5-14
Table 4.5-8	2020 CO Concentration from Parking Facilities	4.5-15
Table 4.5-9	SCAQMD Operational Emissions Thresholds	4.5-19
Table 4.6-1	Day-Night Noise Survey Results	4.6-4
Table 4.6-2	Short-Term (30-60 Min) Noise Survey Results	4.6-4
Table 4.6-3	FTA Noise Impact Criteria	4.6-9
Table 4.6-4	Cumulative Noise Level Increase Allowed by FTA Criteria	4.6-10
Table 4.6-5	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria by Land Use Category	4.6-13
Table 4.6-6	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings	4.6-13
Table 4.6-7	Summary of Residential Noise Impact Assessment for the Mid-City/ Exposition LRT	4.6-15
Table 4.6-8	Summary of Non-Residential Noise Impact from Light Rail Vehicle	4.6-16
Table 4.6-9	Summary of Noise Impacts From Grade Crossing Audible Warnings	4.6-18
Table 4.6-10	Summary of Noise Mitigation Using Sound Walls	4.6-19
Table 4.6-11	Summary of Noise Mitigation at Grade Crossings	4.6-20
Table 4.6-12	Summary of Noise Impact from Proposed Crossover Locations	4.6-23
Table 4.6-13	Summary of Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Assessment	4.6-27
Table 4.6-14	Summary of Vibration Mitigation	4.6-28
Table 4.6-15	Summary of Vibration Impacts from Proposed Crossover Locations	4.6-30
Table 4.8-1	OSHA Thresholds for EMF Exposure Per International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection	4.8-3
Table 4.8-2	OSHA Thresholds for EMF Exposure Per American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	4.8-3
Table 4.10-1	CNDDDB Species Listing for the Project Study Area	4.10-2
Table 4.11-1	Annual Energy Usage in Los Angeles County	4.11-1
Table 4.11-2	Energy Consumption Factors	4.11-2
Table 4.11-3	Change in Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled with Mid-City/Exposition LRT - Year 2020	4.11-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Table 4.11-4	Change in Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled with Phased Implementation - Year 2020	4.11-3
Table 4.11-5	Annual 2020 Operational Energy Consumption	4.11-4
Table 4.11-6	Annual 2020 Operational Energy Consumption - Phased Implementation	4.11-5
Table 4.12-1	Part I Crime Rate	4.12-4
Table 4.12-2	Mid-City/Exposition LRT Safety Analysis	4.12-13
Table 4.14-1	Parklands and Recreational Sites	4.14-2
Table 4.14-2	Mid-City/Exposition LRT Community Facilities	4.14-4
Table 4.14-3	Mid-City/Exposition LRT Impact to Community Facilities	4.14-6
Table 4.15-1	Potential Disposal Sites	4.15-3
Table 4.15-2	Schools Within 700 Feet of the LPA Alignment	4.15-7
Table 4.16-1	Summary of Environmental Impacts	4.16-10
Table 5.1-1	Length of Trackwork for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project (Miles)	5.1-1
Table 5.1-2	Capital Cost Estimate for Mid-City/Exposition LRT (In Year Expenditure Millions of Dollars)	5.1-2
Table 5.1-3	Phased Implementation Segments	5.1-4
Table 5.1-4	LRT Vehicle Requirements for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project	5.1-4
Table 5.1-5	Rail Operating & Maintenance Cost for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project	5.1-8
Table 5.1-6	Operating Cost Per Vehicle Hour for Municipal Operators	5.1-9
Table 5.1-7	Annual Bus O&M Cost (In 2005 & Millions)	5.1-10
Table 5.1-8	Proposed Funding Plan for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project	5.1-10
Table 5.2-1	Ridership in Year 2020	5.2-2
Table 5.2-2	Daily Fixed Guideway Boardings for Year 2025	5.2-2
Table 5.2-3	Comparison of Travel Time Characteristics	5.2-3
Table 5.2-4	Mid-City/Exposition LRT Design Options-Travel Times (In Minutes) YR 2020	5.2-4
Table 6-1	Mid-City/Westside Corridor EIR Scoping Meetings	6-4
Table 6-2	Station Area Workshops	6-9
Table 6-3	Working Group Meetings	6-9
Table 6-4	Focused Stakeholder Meetings	6-9
Table 6-5	Outreach Meetings Subsequent to Public Hearings/LPA	6-10
Table 7-1	List of All Commentors	7-7
Table 7-2	Comments Sorted by Categorical Responses	7-24
Table 7-3	Comments Sorted by Response Category	7-71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1	Los Angeles County Fixed Guideway and Transit and Metro Rapid Route System	1-2
Figure 1.1-2	Mid-City/Westside Study Area with Approved Transit Corridors	1-4
Figure 1.2-1	Los Angeles Centers Concept	1-7
Figure 1.2-2	Transit Supporting Land Use	1-9
Figure 1.2-3	Transit Dependent Population	1-12
Figure 1.2-4	Low Income Households	1-13
Figure 1.2-5	Spider Network of Travel Demand	1-15
Figure 2.1-1	Metro Original Locally Preferred Alternative- 1983	2.1-2
Figure 2.1-2	SCAG Metro Red Line Extension System Planning Study (1989)	2.1-3
Figure 2.1-3	Metro Red Line Extension Suspended Segments (1998)	2.1-5
Figure 2.1-4	Metro Rapid Program Approved System Map (2002)	2.1-8
Figure 2.2-1	SCAG West Los Angeles Restructuring Alternatives (1998)	2.2-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Figure 2.2-2	Mid-City/Westside MIS Alternatives (2000)	2.2-5
Figure 2.3-1	Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridor Draft EIS/EIR Build Alternatives (2001)	2.3-2
Figure 2.4-1	Generalized Mid-City/Exposition LRT Route	2.4-5
Figure 2.4-2	Geographic Subareas	2.4-6
Figure 2.4-3	Index to Typical Cross Sections	2.4-73
Figure 2.4-4	Cross Sections A & B	2.4-74
Figure 2.4-5	Cross Sections C & C2	2.4-75
Figure 2.4-6	Cross-Sections D & E	2.4-76
Figure 2.4-7	Cross-Sections F & G	2.4-77
Figure 2.4-8	Cross-Sections H & I	2.4-78
Figure 2.4-9	Cross-Sections J & K	2.4-79
Figure 2.4-10	Cross-Sections L & M	2.4-80
Figure 2.4-11	Cross-Sections N & O	2.4-81
Figure 2.4-12	Cross-Sections P & Q	2.4-82
Figure 2.4-13	Cross-Sections R & S	2.4-83
Figure 2.4-14	Cross-Section T	2.4-84
Figure 2.4-15	Bus Feeder Network	2.4-8
Figure 2.4-16	Proposed Right-of-Way Changes for National Boulevard	2.4-16
Figure 2.4-17	Generalized Pedestrian Activity	2.4-19
Figure 2.4-18	Downtown Los Angeles Connection Design Options	2.4-21
Figure 2.4-19	Southwest Corner Option	2.4-24
Figure 2.4-20	Jefferson Boulevard Grade Separation and Design Options	2.4-25
Figure 2.4-21	Venice/Robertson Aerial Station Design Option and Grade Separation	2.4-28
Figure 2.4-22	Typical LRT Station	2.4-29
Figure 2.4-23	21 st /Hill Station Area	2.4-34
Figure 2.4-24	USC/Exposition Park Station Area	2.4-36
Figure 2.4-25	Vermont Station Area	2.4-37
Figure 2.4-26	Western Station Area	2.4-38
Figure 2.4-27	Crenshaw Station Area	2.4-39
Figure 2.4-28	La Brea Station Area	2.4-40
Figure 2.4-29	La Cienega Station Area	2.4-42
Figure 2.4-30	Venice/Robertson Station Area	2.4-43
Figure 2.4-31	Bikeway Route Alignment	2.4-46
Figure 2.4-32	Exposition Boulevard at Rodeo Road Bike Lane Transition	2.4-48
Figure 2.4-33	Exposition Boulevard to W. Jefferson Boulevard Bike Lane Transition	2.4-49
Figure 2.4-34	Jefferson Boulevard at National Boulevard Bike Lane/Bike Path Transition	2.4-50
Figure 2.4-35	Washington Boulevard at National Boulevard Bike Path Transition	2.4-51
Figure 2.4-36	Pedestrian Crossing Warning Signs	2.4-58
Figure 2.4-37	Pedestrian Crossing Gates	2.4-60
Figure 2.4-38	Motorist Violations at LRT Crossing	2.4-64
Figure 2.4-39	Motorists Violation Deterrents at LRT Crossing	2.4-65
Figure 2.4-40	General Location of Traction Power Substations	2.4-68
Figure 2.4-41	Metro Division 11 Maintenance Facility - Existing and Proposed Sites	2.4-70
Figure 2.4-42	Mid-Day Storage Area	2.4-71
Figure 3.2-1	Study Area with Geographic Subareas	3.2-2
Figure 3.2-2	Freeway Level of Service (AM Peak Period 1998)	3.2-4
Figure 3.2-3	Freeway Level of Service (PM Peak Period 1998)	3.2-5
Figure 3.2-4	Baseline AM Peak Hour Arterial Segments with LOS E or F	3.2-8
Figure 3.2-5	Baseline PM Peak Hour Arterial Segments with LOS E or F	3.2-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Figure 3.2-6	Study Intersections-Downtown Connections Subarea	3.2-14
Figure 3.2-7	Base Year AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection Subarea . .	3.2-15
Figure 3.2-8	Base Year PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection Subarea . .	3.2-16
Figure 3.2-9	Intersection Recommended Mitigation-Downtown Connection Subarea	3.2-20
Figure 3.2-10	Cumulative 2020 LRT AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection	3.2-22
Figure 3.2-11	Cumulative 2020 LRT AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection	3.2-23
Figure 3.2-12	Study Intersections-Mid Corridor Subarea	3.2-26
Figure 3.2-13	Base Year AM Peak Hour Traffic Volume-Mid-Corridor Subarea	3.2-27
Figure 3.2-14	Base Year PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes- Mid-Corridor Subarea	3.2-28
Figure 3.2-15	Intersection Recommended Mitigation-Mid-Corridor Subarea	3.2-31
Figure 3.2-16	Cumulative 2020 LRT AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Mid-Corridor Subarea	3.2-32
Figure 3.2-17	Cumulative 2020 LRT PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Mid-Corridor Subarea .	3.2-33
Figure 3.2-18	Study Intersections-West End Subarea	3.2-36
Figure 3.2-19	Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea	3.2-38
Figure 3.2-20	2020 No Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea	3.2-42
Figure 3.2-21	LPA, Jefferson Blvd Design Option, Venice/Robertson ROW Design Option Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea	3.2-46
Figure 3.2-22	La Cienega Station Parking Option Peak Hour Traffic Volumes- West End Subarea	3.2-50
Figure 3.2-23	Venice/Robertson ROW Design Peak Hour Traffic Volumes- West End Subarea	3.2-54
Figure 3.2-24	Venice/Robertson North of ROW Design Option Peak Hour Traffic Volumes- West End Subarea	3.2-55
Figure 3.2-25	Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment-Southeast Corner Parking Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea	3.2-59
Figure 3.2-26	Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment-Southwest Corner Parking Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea	3.2-62
Figure 4.1-1	Existing Land Use	4.1-2
Figure 4.2-1	Washington Boulevard & National Boulevard	4.2-10
Figure 4.2-2A	Washington & National Boulevards- North of ROW Design Option A	4.2-11
Figure 4.2-2B	Washington & National Boulevards- North of ROW Design Option B	4.2-12
Figure 4.2-2C	Washington & National Boulevards- North of ROW Design Option C	4.2-13
Figure 4.2-3	Wesley Street & National Boulevard	4.2-14
Figure 4.2-4	3410 S. La Cienega Boulevard	4.2-15
Figure 4.2-5	Jefferson & La Cienega Boulevards- North Widening Option	4.2-16
Figure 4.2-6	3401 S. La Cienega Boulevard	4.2-17
Figure 4.2-7	3433 Farmdale Avenue	4.2-18
Figure 4.2-8	2827 Exposition Place	4.2-19
Figure 4.2-9	3 rd Avenue & Exposition Boulevard	4.2-20
Figure 4.2-10	3790 2 nd Avenue, 3788 Arlington Avenue & 3798 Arlington Avenue	4.2-21
Figure 4.2-11	3763 Normandie Avenue	4.2-22
Figure 4.2-12	1077 W. 38 th Street	4.2-23
Figure 4.2-13	3775 Vermont Avenue & 3667 McClintock Avenue (At Vermont Avenue)	4.2-24
Figure 4.2-14	Figueroa and Flower Streets	4.2-25
Figure 4.2-15	419 Washington Boulevard	4.2-26
Figure 4.2-16	Flower Street & 18 th Street	4.2-27
Figure 4.2-17	I-10 Freeway, Flower Street & Venice Boulevard	4.2-28
Figure 4.3-1	Station Area Census Tracts Sensitive to Environmental Justice Concerns	4.3-4
Figure 4.4-1	Hill Street Visual Character	4.4-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Figure 4.4-2	Metro Right-of-Way At Grand Avenue	4.4-3
Figure 4.4-3	Figueroa Street to Vermont Avenue Visual Character	4.4-4
Figure 4.4-4	Hill Street Downtown Vista	4.4-6
Figure 4.4-5	Exposition Park Views	4.4-7
Figure 4.4-6	Vermont Avenue to Gramercy Place Visual Character	4.4-8
Figure 4.4-7	Second Avenue to Degnan Avenue Visual Character	4.4-9
Figure 4.4-8	Degnan Avenue to Crenshaw Boulevard Visual Character	4.4-10
Figure 4.4-9	Crenshaw Boulevard to Farmdale Avenue Visual Character	4.4-12
Figure 4.4-10	Farmdale Avenue to La Brea Avenue Visual Character	4.4-13
Figure 4.4-11	Baldwin Vista Neighborhood Visual Character	4.4-14
Figure 4.4-12	Hauser Avenue to La Cienega Boulevard Visual Character	4.4-15
Figure 4.4-13	La Brea Avenue Vistas	4.4-16
Figure 4.4-14	La Cienega Boulevard to Ballona Creek Visual Character	4.4-17
Figure 4.4-15	National Boulevard East of Hayden Visual Character	4.4-19
Figure 4.4-16	Eric Moss Designed Buildings Adjacent National Boulevard	4.4-20
Figure 4.4-17	Hayden Avenue to Wesley Avenue Visual Character	4.4-21
Figure 4.4-18	National Boulevard West of Hayden Avenue Visual Character	4.4-22
Figure 4.4-19	Washington Boulevard to Venice Boulevard Visual Character	4.4-23
Figure 4.4-20	Venice Boulevard to Bagley Avenue Visual Character	4.4-24
Figure 4.4-21	La Cienega Boulevard and Ballona Creek Vistas	4.4-25
Figure 4.4-22	Flower Street Design Options Along Exposition Boulevard	4.4-37
Figure 4.4-23	Perspective View of Exposition ROW West of Vermont	4.4-39
Figure 4.4-24	Perspective View West of Crenshaw Boulevard	4.4-43
Figure 4.4-25	Perspective View West of La Brea Avenue	4.4-44
Figure 4.4-26	Perspective View West of Hayden Avenue	4.4-45
Figure 4.4-27	Perspective View Exposition Park and USC Campus	4.4-46
Figure 4.5-1	South Coast Air Basin	4.5-4
Figure 4.5-2	Carbon Monoxide, Ozone, and Particulate Matter Levels	4.5-5
Figure 4.5-3	Air Monitoring Areas	4.5-9
Figure 4.6-1	Noise Monitoring Sites	4.6-2
Figure 4.6-2	Vibration Propagation Test Sites and Regions	4.6-6
Figure 4.6-3	Turnout/Switch and Frog	4.6-22
Figure 4.6-4	Crossover Mitigation	4.6-24
Figure 4.7-1	Active Fault Zones	4.7-3
Figure 4.7-2	Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Hazard Zones	4.7-4
Figure 4.7-3	Potential Liquefaction Areas	4.7-5
Figure 4.7-4	Methane Hazard Zone	4.7-7
Figure 4.9-1	100-Year Flood Plains	4.9-7
Figure 4.10-1	Ballona Creek	4.10-6
Figure 4.12-1	Police Service Areas	4.12-2
Figure 4.13-1	Photographs of Santa Monica Air Line	4.13-3
Figure 4.13-2	Photographs of Santa Monica Air Line	4.13-4
Figure 4.13-3	Setting of Ballona Creek SP/PE Bridge 1	4.13-14
Figure 4.13-4	Setting of Ballona Creek SP/PE Bridge 2	4.13-15
Figure 4.13-5	Setting of Ballona Creek SP/PE Bridge 3	4.13-16
Figure 4.14-1	Community Facilities	4.14-3
Figure 5.1-1	Phase Implementation Segments	5.1-3
Figure 7-1	Distribution of Comments	7-5
Figure 7-2	Pacific Electric System Map and Santa Monica Air Line	7-124